Preparation, Properties, and Crystal Structure of a 1,2,5-Thiadiazolotetracyanoquinodimethane

Takanori Suzuki,^a Yoshiro Yamashita,^a Chizuko Kabuto,^b and Tsutomu Miyashi*^a

^a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Aramaki, Sendai 980, Japan
^b Instrumental Analysis Center for Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Tohoku University, Aramaki, Sendai 980, Japan

The title electron acceptor forms a coplanar dyad in the crystal by hydrogen bonding, and the charge-transfer complexes with tetrathiafulvalene derivatives exhibit high electrical conductivities.

Electron acceptors containing chalcogen atoms are of interest since the interheteroatom interactions may suppress the metal-insulator transitions.¹ Recently we have found that the anion radical salts of BTDA (2)[†] containing two thiadiazole units exhibit two-dimensional electrical conductivity because of interheteroatom $S \cdots N \equiv C$ interactions.² However, the charge transfer (CT) complexes of (2) with tetrathiafulvalene (TTF) and its analogues are semi-conductors owing to mixed stack formation, which is facilitated by the inclusion behaviour of (2) and by interactions between heteroatoms.³ To overcome this disadvantage of inclusion behaviour, one of the heterocyclic components of (2) needs to be removed. We report here the properties and crystal structure of TDA (1),[†] fused with only one heterocyclic ring.

Compound (1) (decomp. 289—300 °C) was prepared in 45% yield by Takahashi's method⁴ from 4,7-dibromobenzothiadiazole⁵ followed by oxidation with PbO₂. The X-ray structural analysis‡ showed that (1) is a planar molecule and forms a coplanar dyad by hydrogen bonding of cyano groups⁶ [N(6)] \cdots H(5) 2.53 Å], which may cause the bowing of dicyanomethylene groups to the opposite direction from the heterocyclic ring (Figure 1). Although there is no $S \cdot \cdot \cdot S$ or $S \cdot \cdot \cdot N$ heteroatom interaction in the crystal, the dyad formation of (1) shows the possibility that the negative charge can be delocalized over the two molecules in the anion radical salts, which is another approach to reducing the on-site Coulomb repulsion, besides enlargement of the π -electron system of the independent molecule. The first (E_1) and second (E_2) reduction potentials of (1) measured by cyclic voltammetry are +0.12 and -0.38 V vs. standard calomel electrode (S.C.E.), respectively, showing that (1) is a stronger acceptor



 † (1), 4,7-bis(dicyanomethylene)-4,7-dihydro-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole; (2), 4,7-bis(dicyanomethylene)-4*H*,7*H*-[1,2,5]thiadiazolo[3,4-*f*]-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole; (3), 4,7-bis(cyanoimino)-4,7-dihydro-4,7-dihydro-5,6-dimethyl-2,1,3-benzothiadiazole.

‡ Crystal data for (1): C₁₂H₂N₆S, M = 262.25, monoclinic, space group P2₁/n, a = 14.325(2), b = 10.515(1), c = 7.765(1) Å, $\beta = 95.28(1)^\circ$, U = 1164.6(3) Å³, Z = 4, $D_c = 1.496$ g cm⁻¹. The final R value is 4.70% for 1881 reflections with $|F_o| > 3\sigma|F_o|$. Atomic co-ordinates, bond lengths and angles, and thermal parameters have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre. See Notice to Authors, Issue No. 1. than (2) $(E_1 - 0.02 \text{ V})$. Although the semiquinone formation constant (log K_{sem}) for (1) (9.14) is larger than that of (2) (8.10), it is smaller than that of tetracyanoquinodimethane (TCNQ) 9.31, so that the on-site Coulomb repulsion is decreased in (1) τ compared with TCNQ τ by fusing a heterocyclic ring. In contrast to (2), (1) gave highly conductive CT complexes with TTF and its derivatives (Table 1), indicating that the inclusion behaviour of (2) is diminished, as expected, by eliminating one heterocycle, preventing formation of inclusion cavities. The hydrogen bonding in (1) may be important in forming highly conductive complexes since the



Figure 1. Dyad formation in (1) with selected bond lengths and angles. Molecules in the dyad are related by a centre of symmetry and lie in the same plane (deviation 0.34 Å). Hydrogen bonding of N(6) \cdots H(5) (2.53 Å) is shown by a broken line.

Table 1. Molar ratios,^a oxidation potentials of donors, and electrical resistivities^b of the CT complexes of TDA (1).

Donor	$(E^{\mathrm{ox}})^{\mathrm{c}}$	Ratio ^a	$ ho/\Omega$ cm
Tetrathiafulvalene (TTF)	(0.31 V)	1:1	0.78
Tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene	(0.41 V)	1:1	1.4
Bis(methylenedithio)-TTF	(0.45 V)	3:2	6.3
Bis(ethylenedithio)-TTF	(0.45 V)	1:1	$1.6 imes 10^{6}$
Tetrakis(methylthio)-TTF	(0.47 V)	1:1	$>10^{8}$
Dibenzo-TTF	(0.57 V)	1:1	$>10^{8}$

^a Donor : acceptor ratio, based on the elemental analyses. ^b Measured at room temperature on compressed pellets by the two-probe technique. ^c V vs. S.C.E., 0.1 mol dm⁻³ Et₄NClO₄ in MeCN.

Table 2. Molar ratios^a and electrical resistivities^b of the anion radical salts of TDA (1).

Ratio	ρ/Ω cm
1:1	$9.6 imes10^2$
1:1	12
1:2	5.7×10^{2}
1:2	28
1:2	$5.6 imes10^4$
	Ratio 1:1 1:1 1:2 1:2 1:2

^a Based on the elemental analyses. ^b Measured at room temperature on compressed pellets by the two-probe technique.

reference compound (3)[†] (decomp. 140–160 °C),§ which cannot exhibit hydrogen bonding, did not afford a CT complex with tetramethyltetraselenafulvalene, and the complex TTF-(3) was an insulator (1:1, $\rho > 10^8 \Omega$ cm). Compound (1) gave anion radical species on reduction with iodides in MeCN, which could be isolated as stable salts [λ_{max} (MeCN) 810 (log ε

§ (3) is expected to be planar, and possesses similar electron affinity to (1) $(E_1 - 0.01; E_2 - 0.55 \text{ V } vs. \text{ S.C.E.})$.

3.99), 658 (4.74), 366 (4.24), 352 (4.26), 290 (4.10), 284 (4.07) 280sh (4.07), and 234 (3.83) nm] (Table 2). Among them, the Me₄N⁺ salt is interesting since it exhibits good electrical conductivity although it is a 1:1 salt with a complete charge transfer state. This fact may be attributed to the reduced on-site Coulomb repulsion of (1)- as well as the molecular interactions in the crystal.

Received, 9th January 1989; Com. 9/00169G

References

- J. M. Williams, M. A. Beno, H. H. Wang, P. C. W. Leung, T. J. Emge, U. Geiser, and K. D. Carlson, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 1985, 18, 261.
- 2 T. Suzuki, C. Kabuto, Y. Yamashita, T. Mukai, T. Miyashi, and G. Saito, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1988, **61**, 483.
- 3 T. Suzuki, C. Kabuto, Y. Yamashita, and T. Mukai, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1987, 60, 2111.
- 4 M. Uno, K. Seto, M. Masuda, N. Ueda, and S. Takahashi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1985, 26, 1553.
- 5 K. Pilgram, M. Zupan, and R. Skiles, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 1970, 7, 629.
- 6 R. E. Long, R. A. Sparks, and K. N. Trueblood, Acta Crystallogr., 1965, 18, 932.